



# **Solving Inverse Problems with Score-Based Generative Priors learned from Noisy Data**

Asad Aali<sup>1</sup>, Marius Arvinte<sup>1,2</sup>, Sidharth Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan I. Tamir<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chandra Family Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA <sup>2</sup>Intel Corporation, Hillsboro, OR, USA



**Generic PyTorch** 

**Training Pipeline** 

# Introduction

- Generative models trained on clean data distribution have shown to outperform end-to-end supervised deep learning.
- □ A large collection of clean training data is prohibitively expensive to acquire.
- Our method approximately learns a generative model of the clean distribution from noisy data.

# **Forward Models**

Multi-Coil MRI

$$y_i = F_\alpha S_i x + n$$

Multi-coil MRI data are acquired in the frequency domain by placing multiple RF coils around the imaging anatomy

 $F_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}^{\alpha N \times N}$  $x \in \mathbb{C}^N$ Fourier Sampling Vectorized Image

 $S_i \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ Coil Sensitivity Map  $n_i$ Gaussian Noise

Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MIMO) Channels



 $n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)$ 



Denoising score matching with  $s_{\theta}$ 



from holsy data.				$\nabla_{x} \log p_{\hat{x}} \qquad (x;\sigma)$
We present SURE-Score: a novel loss function that leverages Stein's unbiased	Point-to-point MIN	Y = HP + N AO baseband communication scenario where transmitters and	$ \xrightarrow{\tilde{x}} s_{\theta}(\cdot; \sigma_w) \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \hat{x}_{\text{MMSH}} \\ \downarrow \\$	$ \xrightarrow{s} s_{\theta}(\cdot; \sigma)  \xrightarrow{s} s_{\theta}(\cdot; \sigma)  \xrightarrow{s} s_{\theta}(\cdot; \sigma) $
risk estimate (SURE) to jointly denoise the	receivers equippe	and with $N_t$ and $N_r$ antennas		
data and learn a score function				
	$P \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\mathrm{t}}  imes N_{\mathrm{p}}}$	Pilot Measurement	$L_{\text{SURE},\theta}$	$ L_{\mathrm{DSM},\theta} $
	$H \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\mathrm{r}} \times N_{\mathrm{t}}}$	Channel State Information		
	N	Gaussian Noise	Fig. 1. Flow of SURE-Score during training. The sam denoising and subsequently for denoising score mate	e deep neural network $s_{\theta}$ is used first for hing.

## Denoising

- Using *Tweedie's rule* and training score models with: (i) Noisy Data (*Naive*), (ii) SURE-Score, and (iii) Noise-Free (*Supervised*) data
- Following table lists NRMSE ( $\mu \pm \sigma$ ) of 100 validation Multi-Coil MRI slices.

Denoising Performance (NRMSE)				
$SNR^w$	0 dB	10 dB		
Naive	$2.48\pm0.24$	$0.70\pm0.07$		
Supervised	$0.21\pm0.01$	$0.14\pm0.01$		
SURE-Score	$0.23\pm0.01$	$0.16\pm0.01$		

#### **Posterior Reconstruction – Multi-Coil MRI Annealed Langevin Dynamics** Ground Truth **SURE-Score** Supervised Linear Naive $| x_{t+1} = x_t + \alpha_t \left( \frac{A^{\mathrm{H}}(y - Ax_t)}{\sigma_n^2 + \gamma_t^2} + s_\theta(x_t; \sigma_t) \right) + \sqrt{2\beta\alpha_t} \eta_t$ For prior sampling $p_{\hat{x}_{MMSe}}$ , we set A = 0 Ground Truth **NRMSE: 0.138** NRMSE: 0.122 **NRMSE: 0.54** Noisy 0 [dB] $H \sim p_H(H)$ Naive

Where  $\alpha$  is appropriate scaling applied to score model

 $\sigma_w^2$ 

SURE-based denoising with  $s_{\theta}$ 

### Takeaways:

- Denoising performance of SURE-Score nearly matches supervised learning
- Shows consistency between the SURE and score-matching objective.



Fig. 2. Prior sampling for three methods: Naive, SURE-Score at *SNR*<sup>w</sup>0 dB, and Supervised. Each column is different realization of a CDL-C channel.



#### Sampling Mask 5x Acceleration

Difference 10x

Fig. 3. Multi-coil MRI reconstruction at acceleration factor of 5×. From left to right: fully sampled ground truth, linear reconstruction, posterior sampling after naively training on noisy data at SNR<sup>w</sup>, posterior sampling after training with SURE-Score, and posterior sampling after training with noise-free data. The bottom row shows the sampling pattern and difference images for each method, respectively.

 $[ap]_{-15}$  $\pm -15$ SWN -20---- Naive Naive - Noise2Score + Naive Noise2Score + Naive  $\longrightarrow$  BM3D + Naive  $\longrightarrow$  BM3D + Naive - Noise2Self + Naive - Noise2Self + Naive SURE-Score ----- SURE-Score - → - · Supervised ---- Supervised -1025-30 -10-5Pilot SNR [dB] Pilot SNR [dB]

**Fig. 3.** Channel estimation performance at  $\alpha = 0.6$  (38 pilots) using score models trained on CDL-C channels at  $SNR^w$ : 0 dB (left) and 10 dB (right).

### **Generalized SURE-Score**

- Goal: Learn the score directly from noisy measurements y
- y = Ax + n- Where *n* is a zero-mean Gaussian random vector

- A is full-rank

### **Methodology:**

function

□ Utilize extended SURE principle to obtain unbiased MSE estimate for exponential family noise corruption

 $s(h) = ||x||^2 + ||h(u)||^2 +$ 

## **Discussion and Conclusion**

- □ Self-supervised techniques can **match** supervised techniques in denoising and inverse problem performance
- □ Runtime per iteration increases due to additional pass through the network
- Choosing hyper-parameters without access to ground truth data is an open challenge
- □ **Next Steps:** Our work currently assumes white Gaussian noise corruption but could be extended to arbitrary exponential families

### **Selected References**

### **Posterior Reconstruction – MIMO Channels**



- **SURE-Score** performs close to **optimal** with respect to supervised DSM except at higher pilot SNR
- Naive training plateaus in estimation performance because of *overfitting*
- Noise2Score and BM3D suffer at lower *SNR<sup>w</sup>* and improve at higher SNR
- Performance gap at high pilot SNR likely due to performance limits of MMSE denoiser and finite





1. Y. Song and S. Ermon, "Generative modeling by estimating gradients of the data distribution," Advances in neural information processing systems, vol. 32, 2019. 2. A. Jalal, M. Arvinte, G. Daras, E. Price, A. G. Dimakis, and J. Tamir, "Robust compressed sensing mri with deep generative priors," Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, vol. 34, pp. 14938–14954, 2021. 3. M.Arvinte and J.I.Tamir, "Mimo channel estimation using score-based generative models," IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, 2022. 4. C. A. Metzler, A. Mousavi, R. Heckel, and R. G. Baraniuk, "Unsu-pervised learning with stein's unbiased risk estimator," arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.10531, 2018.